	:			PCT				
	see form F	PCT//SA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220  International application No. International filing of 16.12.2004				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
			International filing date (					
		ification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	The second secon			
G0	6F17/60							
	licant	THE THE WORLD AND ADDRESS AND	the first state of the state of		A			
SY	MBIAN SOFTWAI	RE LIMITED						
			<del></del>		The state of the s			
1.	This opinion cor	ntains indicati	ions relating to the foll	owing items:				
	<u> </u>	Priority	pinion					
	LLI DOX NO. II	FIIOHILY						
	⊠ Box No III	•	ment of opinion with reas	ard to novelty inver	ative stop and industrial applicability			
		Non-establish		ard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned sta	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s	s.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial			
	☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned sta	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial			
	☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial			
	☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited	s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such st dication	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial			
2.	☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observ	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited s in the international app	s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such st dication	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial			
2.	Box No. IV Box No. V  Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for intervitten opinion of the applicant choose	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observ  DN  ternational prethe internation bases an Author au under Rule	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited s in the international app rations on the internation liminary examination is r lal Preliminary Examining rity other than this one to	s.1(a)(i) with regard so supporting such standard such standard such standard such standard such such such such such such such such	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial			
2.	Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international Bure will not be so consumer to the IPEA	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observation  The internation of The internati	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited in the international apprations on the international liminary examination is rule in the rule of 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written of the considered to be a way together, where appropries	s.1(a)(i) with regard something such standard such standard such standard such standard such such such such such such such such	to novelty, inventive step or Industrial statement  vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where see chosen IPEA has notifed the			
2.	Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international Bure will not be so consumer to the IPEA months from the demand to the IPEA months from the demands.	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observable  The internation of the internatio	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited in the international apprations on the international liminary examination is real Preliminary Examining the other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written one ove, considered to be a very together, where appropriate of the property of the port of the property of the pro	s.1(a)(i) with regard something such standard such standard such standard such standard such such such such such such such such	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority  The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three			
2.	Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international Bure will not be so consult this opinion is, a submit to the IPEA months from the dwhichever expires	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observable  The internation observable international observable internation observable international observable internation obser	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited in the international apprations on the international liminary examination is real Preliminary Examining the other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written one ove, considered to be a very together, where appropriate of the property of the port of the property of the pro	s.1(a)(i) with regard something such standard such standard such standard such standard such such such such such such such such	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority  The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three			
	Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international Bure will not be so consult this opinion is, a submit to the IPEA months from the dwhichever expires	Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observable  The internation observable international observable internation observable international observable internation obser	of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations nents cited in the international apprations on the international apprations on the internation of the internation is real Preliminary Examining the other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written one to 50°C, considered to be a very together, where appropriate of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	s.1(a)(i) with regard something such standard such standard such standard such standard such such such such such such such such	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notified the mational Searching Authority  The IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three			



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Anastasov, Y

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2773



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005281

****	Box I	lo. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With a	egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. typ	e of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:		of filling/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional upies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additio	anal comments:				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005281

_		Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
	Th ob	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
	$\boxtimes$	the entire international application,								
		claims Nos.								
	bed	pecause:								
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):								
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):								
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.								
	$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.								
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for ir C of the Administrative Instructions in that:								
		the written form		has not been furnished						
				does not comply with the standard						
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished						
				does not comply with the standard						
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amin not comply with the technical requirements pro				and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
		See separate sheet for further	detail	ls						

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005281

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-23

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-23

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims 1-23



2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005281

-- NO SEARCH reasoning --

## Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The claims relate to subject-matter for which no search is required according to Rule 39 PCT. Given that the claims are formulated in terms of such subject-matter or merely specify commonplace features relating to its technological implementation, the search examiner could not establish any technical problem which might potentially have required an inventive step to overcome. Hence it was not possible to carry out a meaningful search into the state of the art (Art. 17(2)(a)(I) and (ii) PCT; see PCT International Search Guidelines, Chapter 9).

1. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 17(2)(a) PCT, and Rule 39.1(iii) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1-20 define an administrative/business method (comparing contact entities) and claims 21 - 23 define a computer device and a computer software for computerized processing of comparison of contact entities.

Interpreting claims 1-23 based on FIG. 1 and the description, only standard hardware components are illustrated, without essentially changing the network structure of the system (page 1 to page 9, general-purpose computer, mobile phone, personal organizers and laptop computers, for automating the identification of mutual contacts linking strangers belonging to different clusters in a social network). The claims 1-23 encompass embodiments for an improved method for establishing mutual contacts within a social group existing either in a business or a personal context with no-technical or general technical meaning and serve merely definitional purposes.

2. The examiner points out that the contribution of the present application apparently resides only in a computer-implementation of an administrative/social scheme that is, to develop an administrative method/model for an improved method for establishing mutual contacts in a social network (see page 3, lines 5-7; and page

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005281

3, lines 30 - 34) and claims which merely specify <u>commonplace features</u> relating to the technological implementation of such social method/model. Therefore the search examiner cannot establish any technical problem which might potentially have required an inventive step for it to be overcomed. In this case it is not possible to carry out a meaningful search into the state of the art (Article 17(2)(a) PCT).

In all claims, the comparison, contact entities is essentially implemented by general software programming. This is used to implement an administrative / social scheme, without changing the underling hardware/network.

Having regard to the rather few technical aspects claimed in the application, the closest prior art appears to be a general purpose computer and a network (computer network) as cited by the applicant page (page 3, last five lines). Such a general purpose computer device form part of the common general knowledge.

The claimed subject-matter is distinguished therefrom for implementing the essentially social and an administrative-related aspects (see page 8, establishing social common connections, conversational approach and meeting between strangers).

From the point of view of the relevant person skilled in the art, the task of programming a computerized interface for establishing common social connections or implementing an social/administrative/commercial features on such a system is per se a normal and obvious aim.

It appears that the implementation of a method/model for establishing mutual contacts is a routine programming measure well within the reach of the skilled person.

Thus, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-23 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005281

3. Furthermore, no International Preliminary Examination is to be carried out according to Article 34(4)(a)(ii) for subject-matter referring to a social/administrative/business method within the meaning of Rule 67.1(iii).